Service Plan Risk Register

-															
No.	Risk Description	Gr	os	Cause of Risk	Mitigation		et	Further Management of Risk: Transfer/Accept/Reduce/Avoid			Monitoring				rrent
	Link to Corporate Obj	S				Risk					Effectivene		es	Ris	k
		Ris									S	5			
Risk	Score Impact Score: 1 :	=Insi	ignif	icant; 2 = Minor; 3 = Mode	rate; 4 = Major; 5 = Catastro	ohic	F	Probability Score: 1 = Rare;	; 2 = Unlikely; 3 = Pos	ssibl	e; 4	= Li	kely;	; 5 =	:
Almo	ost Certain														
1	Negative public	1	Ρ	Closing toilets	Mitigating Control:	I.	Ρ	Action: Reduce	Outcome	Q	Q	Q	Q	L	Р
	reaction to toilet			-	Consult of usage and			Action Owner: Head of	Required:	1	2	3	4		
	closures (Improve	2	4		opinions of existing	2	3	City Works	Milestone Date:-	$\overline{\mbox{\scriptsize (s)}}$	$\overline{\mbox{\scriptsize ($)}}$	\otimes	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$		
	the local				facilities to help decide			2		\odot	\odot	\odot	\odot		
	environment,				how many/which toilets					\odot	\odot	\odot	\odot		
	economy and				to close										
	quality of life)				Level of Effectiveness										
					(H, M, L) H										
					(* ',, _)										
2	If toilets close, anti-	2	4	Closing toilets where	Mitigating Control:	1	3	Action: Reduce							
-	social behaviour	_	•	anti-social behaviour	Consider anti-social		Ŭ	Action Owner: Head of							
	relocating to			is apparent	behaviour in the			City Works							
	streets/other places				decision making										
	(Reduce crime and				process on which										
	anti-social				facilities to close.										
	behaviour)				Tachilles to close.										
3	/	2	2	Charging for facilities	luctifying cost with	1	2	Action: Reduce					$\left \right $		
3	Negative public	2	3	Charging for facilities	Justifying cost with improved facilities.		2	Action. Reduce Action Owner: Head of							
	reaction to charging				Consultation to										
	for usage (Improve							City Works							
	the local				determine reasonable										
	environment,				charges.										
	economy and														
	quality of life)														

4	Lack of business interest in Community Toilet Scheme (Improve the local environment, economy and quality of life)	4	2	Inadequate communication with business community	Consider incentives and adequate promotion	4	1	Action: Avoid Action Owner: Head of City Works			
5	Some groups in society may be excluded if not able to access the facilities in the Community Toilet Scheme (Stronger, more inclusive communities)	2	3	Some businesses may not welcome all users. Some businesses will not have DDA compliant toilets	Trial the scheme. Plan to keep some Council facilities available for all – CTS just as a supplement.	2	2	Action: Reduce Action Owner: Head of City Works			
6	Negative public reaction to increased advertising panels included in the outsourcing option (Improve the local environment, economy and quality of life)	1	2	Deal for automatic toilets includes use of advertising panels	Consult on public opinion on advertising in town	1	1	Action: Reduce Action Owner: Head of City Works			

7	Not enough capital generated to fund a world-class facility in Gloucester Green (Improve the local environment, economy and quality of life)	3	3	Limited resale value of closed facilities, particularly in current economic climate	Thorough investigation of resale options	3	2	Action: Accept Action Owner: Head of City Works							
---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--